

**Environmental Assessment
Determinations and Compliance Findings
for HUD-assisted Projects
24 CFR Part 58**

Project Information

Project Name: The-Iris

HEROS Number: 900000010394745

Responsible Entity (RE): SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, 300 Outlet Pointe Blvd Ste
C Columbia SC, 29210

RE Preparer: Jeffrey Lauffer

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: Jennifer Cogan

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: , Sumter, SC 29150

Additional Location Information:

The site is currently vacant without a 911 address. The site is on Bultman Drive in Sumter SC.

Direct Comments to: jeffrey.lauffer@schousing.com or 300 C Outlet Pointe Blvd,
Columbia SC 29210

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Bradley Sumter, LLC is seeking federal funding from the Small Rental Development Program (SRDP) as well as HOME and the National Housing Trust Fund provided through SC Housing for a residential development. State funds from the South Carolina Housing Trust Fund will also be utilized. The 5.66-acre subject property is located east of Bultman Drive and north of Rast Street in Sumter, Sumter County, South Carolina. The Sumter County PIN/TMS identification for the site is 2301602033. The proposed project consists of construction of an approximately 37-unit, three-story apartment complex (mix of 1-3 bedroom units) in a single building in one phase. A clubhouse, storm water pond, playground/gazebo, two access drives, and applicable water and sewer connections from adjacent roads are also proposed. The site will be purchased by the applicant prior to construction, but after completion of the environmental review process.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of the proposed project is to construct a residential apartment community. The need for the proposed project is to provide affordable housing in the Sumter area. Per a recent Family Market Study Needs Assessment Report (Shaw Research & Consulting), from a market standpoint, it was evident that sufficient demand is currently present for the development of additional affordable rental units within the Sumter market area. SC Housing has awarded the applicant potential funding pending the outcome of this environmental review.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The proposed project site is on the northern portion of the City of Sumter boundary limits. The project site is located in a mixed-use area consisting of residential (multi-family and single-family developments), governmental, and commercial site uses. Immediately surrounding land uses consist of single-family residences (The Cascades), multi-family residences (Willow Run Apartments/Archdale), undeveloped land, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, the Social Security Administration, and a commercial business (Backyard Bar and Grill). Additional residential parcels and commercial businesses are located within a 0.25-mile radius of the site including the Sumter Mall located approximately 800 feet west within walking distance. Commercial businesses are also located along South Pike West approximately 500 feet north of the site. Central Carolina Technical College Advanced Manufacturing Tech Training Center is located approximately 1,500 feet south of the site. The project site itself historically consisted of wooded land since at least the 1930s. Currently, the site primarily consists of scrub-shrub, undeveloped land that was cleared approximately 15 years ago. The surrounding area became increasingly

developed with residential parcels beginning in the 1970s-1980s. The Sumter Mall was first developed in the early 1980s to the west of the site. More recently, the U.S. Department of Veterans affairs was constructed south of the site. In the absence of the proposed project, the site is likely to remain undeveloped and will not be used to create value by generating tax revenue, creating affordable housing for low-to-moderate-income families, and improving the character and neighborhood dynamics of the area.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

[signed FONSI.pdf](#)

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
F23-SG450100	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Other CPD Program	\$2,517,000.00
M23-SG450100	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	HOME Program	\$2,386,000.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount: \$4,903,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$11,482,667.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6		
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. Firm Map # 45085C0303E effective 10-27-22
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5		
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or

		conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Per SC Housing requirements this project will test for Radon once the project is built but prior to move in of any residents.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project includes activities that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use, but "prime farmland", "unique farmland", or "farmland of statewide or local importance" regulated under the Farmland Protection Policy Act does not occur on the project site. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 65.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. There are no sole source aquifers in the state of South Carolina.

Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
LAND DEVELOPMENT			
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	2	The zoning accommodates the proposed development. No compatibility, land use, or zoning issues identified. Project meets city/county/long-term strategies, land use, and goals of multiple planning documents addressing additional residential development needs. See zoning and additional land use documentation.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	3	Rains sandy loam is considered low potential for urban development with limitations due to wetness and flooding. Slopes are 0-2%. A stormwater pond is proposed for northern portion of site. Soils have low erosion potential if proper BMPs used. However, these limitations can be alleviated by proper design/grading/construction installation to	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		<p>mitigate adverse effects. Per Geotechnical Report, the site contains marginal near surface soils, generally defined as soils containing high fines content (silt and clays) and high groundwater which can greatly affect earthwork construction. The near surface soils, contained materials with high fines content and high groundwater. Therefore, the soils will have elevated natural moisture contents. These elevated moisture contents can lead to difficulties compacting stripped subgrade and on-site borrow sources. Chemical modification using cement or quicklime can be used to combat the high moisture content of the soils and speed earthwork operations. A NPDES permit/approval will be obtained from SCDHEC/Sumter County for improvements prior to site development for land disturbance (sediment and erosion control/BMPs to be applied during construction).</p>	
<p>Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Based upon site inspection, NEPAssist, and Phase I ESA, no on-site or surrounding hazards were identified that could affect the health and safety of users or conflict with the intended utilization of the site. A noise assessment was completed for the project area. The combined (airports, roads, and railroads) day/night noise level (DNL) was calculated to be 65 dB or less which is within HUD's Acceptable range. Construction-related activities (land clearing, grading) could cause short-term exposures to sensitive receptors from particulate matter (PM 10) such as fugitive dust leaving the construction site and emissions from construction equipment. Mitigation measures for dust control will be implemented to reduce potential impacts to air quality during construction. Thus, there will be no significant impact to air quality from the proposed project. No hazards, nuisances, or site safety issues were observed.</p>	
SOCIOECONOMIC			
<p>Employment and Income Patterns</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Project will not negatively affect employment or income patterns in area.</p>	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	Proposed project is compatible with surrounding area and will not result in negative demographic or character changes or the displacement of residents. Additionally, users of the development are expected to come from surrounding communities and should not contribute to demographic character changes in the area. Project will not deny any population within the local community potential for growth. Project will not act as an isolation feature within the local area or community. Project will not significantly impact the demographics of the local community. Local institutions (churches, community centers, elderly centers, etc.) will not be adversely impacted by the development.	
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	According to the EPA EJSscreen, project area is located in a potential EJ area. The demographic indicators for a two-mile radius of the proposed project site show that there is a higher percentage of minority and/or low-income population in the proposed project area as compared to the State and U.S. averages. This area has an approximately 58% minority population compared to 38% in the State and 39% in the U.S. This area has an approximately 46% minority population compared to 36% in the State and 31% in the U.S. Several of the EJSscreen indicators for a two-mile radius of the proposed project site are the same or higher than the State and/or U.S. averages and include Diesel Particulate Matter, Air Toxics Cancer Risk, Air Toxics Respiratory Hazard Index, Traffic Proximity, Lead Paint, Superfund Proximity, Risk Management Plan (RMP) Facility Proximity, Hazardous Waste Proximity, and USTs. Air quality reports indicate that Sumter County is not listed for Non-Attainment or Maintenance status in any of the targeted NAAQS monitoring parameters, which include ozone. Based on a site visit, Contamination and Toxic Substances Review, and review of available environmental records for the proposed project site and surrounding area, the site is unlikely to contain	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the subject site. Therefore, these indicators are not considered to have a negative effect on the proposed project. See EJSscreen, CDC Reports, Climate Change, and Contamination and Toxic Substances documents.	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES			
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	2	School-aged children would be assigned to nearby local elementary, middle, and high schools. Several colleges are also located in Sumter. Multiple libraries, museums, and other cultural facilities are available in area. Project not anticipated to have adverse effects on local cultural facilities. See Educational/ Libraries/ Cultural Facilities exhibits and documentation.	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	Adequate commercial facilities are located near site. Many grocery stores, food outlets, and pharmacies located near site. Numerous commercial facilities (retail, restaurants, gas stations, offices, barber shops, etc.) are located within a 1-mile radius of site. Downtown Sumter is located within a mile. Project will increase customer base for these local businesses. Project should increase the employee availability to the area. Project will not have an adverse effect on local commercial facilities. See Commercial/ Retail exhibits and documentation.	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	Project is located in area with close proximity to local health care and social services providers. Project should increase employee availability to the local area. An increase in tax base will support this and other services. It is anticipated that many residents of the proposed project will come from within the community and are potentially already served by these health care and social services. Therefore, there should only be a negligible increase in demand for services. See Health and Social Services exhibits and documentation.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Solid waste disposal and recycling will be available to site by a private solid waste/ recycling service. An appropriate quantity of dumpsters will be provided to meet the proposed project's demands. City trash pickup is available. Existing county landfill has adequate capacity to serve demands of the project will not be adversely affected by project. See Solid Waste/ Recycling documentation.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Public waste water and sanitary sewer services will be provided to site by the City of Sumter. Existing waste water line is located along Bultman Blvd. to the west.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Public water supply services will be provided to site by the City of Sumter. Existing water line is located along Bultman Blvd. to the west.	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	The City of Sumter/Sumter County police, fire, and EMS services meets the needs of the proposed development and local area.	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	A playground and gazebo are proposed for the development. Adequate recreational services and facilities are available to site. It is anticipated that many residents of the proposed project will come from within the community and there will be a negligible increased demand for resources. Thus, the project is not anticipated to have an adverse impact on parks, open spaces, and recreation centers.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	2	The site is provided with public bus transportation that is available through Santee-Wateree RTA. Several bus routes and stops are located near the site.	
NATURAL FEATURES			
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	2	Unique features or geological features were not identified onsite or in the vicinity of site. Water resources (groundwater, streams, etc.) will not be impacted. The project activities will be completed in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and permit requirements and conditions.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	2	No Effect. Project will not affect protected species, cause major habitat disruption, or introduce non-native species. See Endangered Species documentation.	
Other Factors 1	2	<p>According to the EJScreen Report, the site is: 45% in US for Particulate Matter 2.5 (ug/m3), 51% for Ozone (ppb), 57% for Diesel Particulate Matter (ug/m3), 52% for Air Toxics Cancer Risk (lifetime risk per million), 92% for Air Toxics Respiratory HI, and 43% for Toxic Releases to Air. Air quality is not a significant concern at the site even with exacerbation of effects from Climate Change. According to the EPA, "Climate change can impact air quality and, conversely, air quality can impact climate change. Changes in climate can result in impacts to local air quality. Atmospheric warming associated with climate change has the potential to increase ground-level ozone in many regions, which may present challenges for compliance with the ozone standards in the future. The impact of climate change on other air pollutants, such as particulate matter, is less certain, but research is underway to address these uncertainties. Emissions of pollutants into the air can result in changes to the climate. Ozone in the atmosphere warms the climate, while different components of particulate matter (PM) can have either warming or cooling effects on the climate. For example, black carbon, a particulate pollutant from combustion, contributes to the warming of the Earth, while particulate sulfates cool the earth's atmosphere." (See https://www.epa.gov/air-research/air-quality-and-climate-change-research.) There will be no significant impact to air quality from the proposed project. The operation of the proposed project following the completion of construction activities will not increase emissions. Any air quality impacts would be short-term and localized during construction, and no significant</p>	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		adverse impacts to air quality are anticipated. See EJScreen, CDC Reports, and Clean Air.	
Other Factors 2			
CLIMATE AND ENERGY			
Climate Change	2	<p>According to the EJScreen website's Climate Change Data, the proposed project site has no projected 100-year floodplain and no projected sea level rise; the census block group contains 0% properties at wildfire risk in 2024 and 72% properties at wildfire risk in 2054; and the census block group contains 57% properties at flood risk in 2024 and 56% properties at flood risk in 2054. The data from the EJScreen is representative for the area, and lower risk percentiles than some portions of the surrounding area. According to the Climate Mapping For Resilience and Adaptation Tool data for the proposed project site's census tract, there is a relatively moderate risk (National Risk Index Ratings) of Extreme Heat, very low risk for Drought, very low risk for Wildfire, relatively low risk for Flooding, and no rating for Coastal Inundation. Thus, the reviewed data does not present any additional significant site concerns. The proposed development will provide much needed affordable housing rental options within the community. The proposed project site was determined most suitable and necessary for providing the community with safe, affordable housing rental options.</p> <p>https://www.climate.gov/news-features/understandingclimate/climate-change-probably-increasing-intensitytropical-cyclones, https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/, and https://livingatlas.arcgis.com/assessment-tool/home.</p>	
Energy Efficiency	2	<p>Project will be connected into an existing grid and will not require additional infrastructure. The existing power infrastructure can support the proposed project, which is not expected to require large, additional consumptions of energy. Per the application, the project will be</p>	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		constructed with the following sustainable building certification(s): Southface Energy Institute and Greater Atlanta Home Builders Association's EarthCraft.	

Supporting documentation

[Market Study.pdf](#)

[Geotechnical Report.pdf](#)

[Q Community Facilities and Services.pdf](#)

[P Land Development.pdf](#)

[R Climate Change.pdf](#)

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Chris Daves

1/30/2024 12:00:00 AM

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

See attached list of sources.

[3 Sources.pdf](#)

List of Permits Obtained:

No permits have been obtained or applied for at the time this EA was prepared. It is anticipated the following permits will be needed: * City of Sumter Building Permit * City of Sumter Utilities Approval * NPDES Land Disturbance Permit

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

SC Housing will post on our agency website, front lobby, and send out an email blast to everyone who has signed up to receive email updates from the agencies environmental page and SRDP page.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed project will be an affordable, multi-family housing complex that will provide new, safe housing that is needed in the Sumter area. The proposed project

site was found to be a very suitable site for the development with no on-site or nearby recognized environmental conditions that would adversely affect the proposed project use or its occupants. This analysis has revealed the proposed project would not have significant adverse impacts associated with cumulative effects. Cumulative effects are caused by the aggregate of past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions. This site was found to be appropriate for much needed multi-family affordable housing with minimal adverse environmental impacts and close proximity to community services. The benefits of this proposed project to the local economy in the City of Sumter cannot be understated.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Based on the nature of the project and the need for additional housing in the Sumter area, alternative locations or project modifications were not considered. Additional alternatives were not considered as there were no significant impacts to the human or natural environment based on the current site plans. The project is beneficial to the community for the reasons stated in the purpose and need statement.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

With the "No Action" Alternative, the site is likely to remain vacant and will not be used to create value by generating tax revenue, creating affordable housing for residents, and improving the character and neighborhood dynamics of the area, which are of greater benefit to the community than leaving the site vacant. The housing needs identified in the previous purpose and need statement will not be recognized. Thus, the "No Action" Alternative is not feasible in relation to the desired objective of creating affordable housing options in the City of Sumter.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The prior uploaded documents and compliance factors show that the proposed project work will comply with regulations in 24 CFR part 58 and that there are no direct or cumulative adverse environmental impacts anticipated as a result of the proposed project. 1. Soil Suitability - adhere to recommendations in the Geotechnical Report regarding marginal near surface soils. 2. Obtain SCDHEC land disturbance and/or City of Sumter building permits. 3. Post-construction radon testing must be performed per SC Housing policy.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Contamination-Radon Testing	Radon testing is required by SC Housing	N/A	Radon testing will occur before move in of residents. SC Housing is currently requiring, all project buildings be built with Radon Resistant New Construction Practices per the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/American Association of Radon Scientists and Technologists (AARST) standards. Testing is the best way to determine radon levels within new buildings following construction, a radon test is required in order to pass SC Housing's 100% construction inspection.	

Project Mitigation Plan

Radon test results are a required document that is to be submitted with the 100% construction inspection request. The assigned program coordinator will then pass the results to the ERR preparer for review.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

[Airport Hazards Partner Worksheet.pdf](#)

[Airport Hazards.pdf](#)

[Shaw AFB distance map.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

[B Coastal Barriers Resources.pdf](#)

[B Coastal Barrier Resources Act Partner Worksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[FIRM MAP.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The [FEMA Map Service Center](#) provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. Firm Map # 45085C0303E effective 10-27-22

Supporting documentation

[C Flood Insurance Partner Worksheet.pdf](#)

[FIRM MAP\(1\).pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project’s County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project’s air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

No, project’s county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project’s management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

[D Air Quality.pdf](#)

[D AirQualityPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

[E CoastalZoneManagementActPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

[E Coastal Zone Mgmt.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- ASTM Phase II ESA
- Remediation or clean-up plan
- ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
- None of the Above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

- No

Explain:

PER the PHASE I no REC's were identified.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project

is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Per SC Housing requirements this project will test for Radon once the project is built but prior to move in of any residents.

Supporting documentation

[SCSHFDA Certification.pdf](#)

[F Contamination Toxic Substances.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (“FWS” and “NMFS” or “the Services”).	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 <i>et seq.</i>); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?

- ✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.
Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

- [SCSHFDA Certification\(1\).pdf](#)
- [G Endangered Species part 2.pdf](#)
- [G Endangered Species part 1.pdf](#)
- [G EndangeredSpeciesActPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

Yes

3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:

- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

Screen Summary**Compliance Determination**

There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

[H Explosive and Flammable Hazardous.pdf](#)

[H ExplosivesPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

No

2. Does your project meet one of the following exemptions?

- Construction limited to on-farm structures needed for farm operations.
- Construction limited to new minor secondary (accessory) structures such as a garage or storage shed
- Project on land already in or committed to urban development or used for water storage. (7 CFR 658.2(a))

Yes

No

3. Does “important farmland,” including prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide or local importance regulated under the Farmland Protection Policy Act, occur on the project site?

- Utilize USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service’s (NRCS) Web Soil Survey <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm>
- Check with your city or county’s planning department and ask them to document if the project is on land regulated by the FPPA (zoning important farmland as non-agricultural does not exempt it from FPPA requirements)
- Contact NRCS at the local USDA service center <http://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs> or your NRCS state soil scientist <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/national/contact/states/> for assistance

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project includes activities that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use, but "prime farmland", "unique farmland", or "farmland of statewide or local importance" regulated under the Farmland Protection Policy Act does not occur on the project site. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

[I Farmlands\(1\).pdf](#)

[I FarmlandsPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[FIRM MAP.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

Supporting documentation

[FIRM MAP\(2\).pdf](#)

[C FloodplainManagementPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 “Protection of Historic Properties” https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-vol3-part800.pdf

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

- No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)
- No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed

✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

✓ Catawba Indian Nation Completed

✓ Cherokee Nation Response Period Elapsed

✓ Muscogee (Creek) Nation

Response Period Elapsed

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

After SHPO issues its determination, SC Housing uses The HUD Tribal Directory Tool in order to determine which THPO's to contact.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes
No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

- 1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:**

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location / District	National Register Status	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
-------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	-----------------------

Additional Notes:

- 2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?**

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

[Catawba Cover Letter.pdf](#)

[Cherokee Nation Cover Letter.pdf](#)

[Catawba response.pdf](#)

[SHPO Clearance.pdf](#)

[Cover Letter Muscogee.pdf](#)

[J Historic Preservation.pdf](#)

[J HistoricPreservationPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD’s noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: “Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields”	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

- New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster
 None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000’ from a major road, 3000’ from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

- ✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

5. **Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the**

- ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 65

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 65

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 65.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

[K NoiseEAPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

[K Noise.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
<p>The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.</p>	<p>Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)</p>	<p>40 CFR Part 149</p>

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. There are no sole source aquifers in the state of South Carolina.

Supporting documentation

[L Sole Source Aquifers.pdf](#)

[L SoleSourceAquifersPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service’s National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building’s footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990’s definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990’s definition of new construction.

**Screen Summary
Compliance Determination**

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

[M WetlandsPartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

[M Wetlands.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

[N Wild and Scenic Rivers.pdf](#)

[N WildScenicRiversPartner-Worksheet.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project’s total environmental review?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

[O EnvironmentalJusticePartnerWorksheet.pdf](#)

[O Env Justice.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No